



# Mathieu Moonshine

---

Matthias Gaberdiel  
ETH Zürich

String-Math 2012  
Bonn, 19 July 2012

based on work with

with **S. Hohenegger**, **D. Persson**, **H. Ronellenfitsch**  
and **R. Volpato**



# K3 sigma models

---

Consider CFT sigma model with target K3.

Spectrum:

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i,j} N_{ij} \mathcal{H}_i \otimes \bar{\mathcal{H}}_j .$$

repr. of **N=4 superconformal algebra**

Full spectrum complicated --- only known explicitly at special points in moduli space.



# K3 Moduli Space

Moduli space of K3 sigma models described by

[Aspinwall, Morrison], [Aspinwall]  
[Nahm, Wendland]

$$\mathcal{M} = O(4, 20; \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \underbrace{O(4, 20; \mathbb{R}) / O(4, \mathbb{R}) \times O(20, \mathbb{R})}$$

discrete autos  
of fixed  
'charge lattice'

Grassmannian, describing  
pos. def. 4d subspace

$$\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^{4,20}$$



# Elliptic genus

---

Instead of full partition function consider  
'partial index' = **elliptic genus**:

$$\phi_{K3}(\tau, z) = \text{Tr}_{\text{RR}} \left( q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} y^{J_0} (-1)^F \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0 - \frac{\bar{c}}{24}} (-1)^{\bar{F}} \right) \equiv \phi_{0,1}(\tau, z) .$$
$$(q = e^{2\pi i\tau}, y = e^{2\pi iz})$$

- constant over moduli space
- defines **weak Jacobi form** of weight  $w=0$  and index  $m=1$ .

[Kawai et. al.]



# Weak Jacobi form

A **weak Jacobi form** is a function

[Eichler,Zagier]

$$\phi : (\tau, z) \in \mathbb{H}_+ \times \mathbb{C} \mapsto \phi(\tau, z) ,$$

whose expansion is of the form

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}} c(n, \ell) q^n y^\ell .$$

Under a **modular transformation** it transforms as

$$\phi\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z}{c\tau + d}\right) = (c\tau + d)^w e^{2\pi i m \frac{cz^2}{c\tau + d}} \phi(\tau, z) ,$$

where  $w=0$  and  $m=1$  (for K3).



# Weak Jacobi form

---

A **weak Jacobi form** is a function

[Eichler,Zagier]

$$\phi : (\tau, z) \in \mathbb{H}_+ \times \mathbb{C} \mapsto \phi(\tau, z) ,$$

whose expansion is of the form

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}} c(n, \ell) q^n y^\ell .$$

In addition it satisfies

$$\phi(\tau, z + \ell\tau + \ell'z) = e^{-2\pi i m(\ell^2 \tau + 2\ell z)} \phi(\tau, z) \quad \ell, \ell' \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

(spectral flow)



# BPS states

---

Define subspace of RR states:

**BPS states = right-moving ground states**

(These are the states that contribute to elliptic genus.)

Then, w.r.t left-moving N=4 have decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{BPS}} = 20 \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{4}, j=0} \oplus 2 \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{4}, j=\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n+\frac{1}{4}, j=\frac{1}{2}}$$

**multiplicity spaces** --- not constant over moduli space.



# Indices

---

However, since elliptic genus is constant over moduli space, the **indices**

$$A_n = \text{Tr}_{D_n} (-1)^{\bar{F}}$$

are **constant**. Explicitly:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} A_1 & = & 90 = 45 + \overline{45} \\ A_2 & = & 461 = 231 + \overline{231} \\ A_3 & = & 1540 = 770 + \overline{770} \end{array} \quad \leftarrow \text{dims of irreps of M24!}$$

[Eguchi, Ooguri, Tachikawa]





# Virtual representations

---

Note: similar decomposition for the lowest coefficients

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{BPS}} = 20 \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{4}, j=0} \oplus 2 \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{4}, j=\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \times \mathcal{H}_{n+\frac{1}{4}, j=\frac{1}{2}}$$

They involve virtual representations:

$$\begin{aligned} 20 &= 23 - 3 \cdot 1 \\ -2 &= -2 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$$



# Basics of M24

---

The Mathieu group M24 is one of the sporadic simple finite groups. It has **order**

$$2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23 = 244823040$$

**26 irreducible representations: 1 .. 10395**

**26 conjugacy classes: 1A .. 23A, 23B**



# Basics of M24

---

M24 is the **subgroup of the permutation group** of 24 points that leaves the binary Golay code invariant.

Equivalently, it is automorphism group of Niemeier lattice

$$\mathbb{M}_{24} \cong \text{Aut}(A_1^{24} \text{ Niemeier}) / (\text{Weyl reflections})$$



# Why Mathieu?

---

**Mukai Theorem:** any finite group of symplectic automorphisms ( $\rightarrow$  fixes all three complex structures) of a K3 surface is isomorphic to a subgroup of the Mathieu group **M23**.

[The Mathieu group **M23** is the subgroup of **M24** (as a subgroup of the permutation group) that leaves **one fixed point invariant**.]

However, the **symplectic automorphisms of K3** have at least **5 orbits** on the set of 24 points: form proper subgroups of M23.



# Conjugacy classes

---

Because of this, useful to separate the conjugacy classes of M24 into **two classes**:

16 classes with **representative in M23**

1A, 2A, 3A, 4B, 5A, 6A, 7A, 7B, 8A,  
11A, 14A, 14B, 15A, 15B, 23A, 23B

← geometric

← non-geometric

10 classes with **no representative in M23**

2B, 3B, 4A, 4C, 6B, 10A, 12A, 12B, 21A, 21B.



# Geometrical explanation?

---

This geometrical point of view therefore only explains small part of Mathieu Moonshine.

Natural generalisation: consider instead of geometrical symmetries, **sigma-model symmetries**

see later.....



# Evidence

---

In order to determine 'correct decomposition' consider analogue of McKay-Thompson series:

$$A_n = \dim(R_n) \rightarrow \text{Tr}_{R_n}(g) \quad \forall g \in \mathbb{M}_{24}$$

Basic idea: if space of BPS states carried rep of M24, then this would lead to 'twining genus'

$$\phi_g(\tau, z) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{RR}}} \left( g q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} e^{2\pi i z J_0} (-1)^F \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0 - \frac{\bar{c}}{24}} (-1)^{\bar{F}} \right) .$$



# Constraints

---

These twining genera are **constrained by**

- ▶ Explicitly calculable for specific models and symmetries [Sen], [David, Jatkar, Sen], [Cheng]
- ▶ EOT ansatz fixes first few coefficients
- ▶ Modular properties





# Modular properties

---

Indeed, standard orbifold arguments suggest that under a modular transformation

$$h \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}} h^d g^c \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} g \\ g^a h^b \end{array}$$

For twining genus:  $h=1$ . Thus to get same diagram need:

- ▶  $c=0 \pmod{N=o(g)}$
- ▶  $a=1 \pmod{N=o(g)}$  [actually  $\gcd(a,N)=1$  sufficient]



# Modular properties

---

Thus if group element  $g$  has order  $N$ , twining genus should be (up to a multiplier system) a Jacobi form of weight 0 and index 1 under the congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\} .$$

This is a strong constraint, provided one knows the multiplier phase...



# Multiplier phase

Correct ansatz for multiplier phase:

[MRG,Hohenegger,Volpato]

$$\phi_g\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z}{c\tau + d}\right) = e^{\frac{2\pi i cd}{Nh}} e^{\frac{2\pi i cz^2}{c\tau + d}} \phi_g(\tau, z), \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N),$$

for **specific h**. [Later, h was identified with length of shortest cycle (when interpreted as permutation).]

[Duncan,Cheng]

With this insight **explicit formulae for all twining genera** were found.

[MRG,Hohenegger,Volpato]

[Also independently obtained by **Eguchi & Hikami**, using different methods.]



# Proof of Moonshine

---

Twining genera give rise to explicit expression for

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{R_n}(g) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, g \in \mathbb{M}_{24}$$

Using orthogonality of group characters, this then **determines M24-representation** of all multiplicity spaces!

We have worked this out for the **first 500** multiplicity spaces, and all of them are indeed direct sums of M24 irreps with **non-negative integer coefficients**.

[Later checked by Tachikawa for first 1000 coefficients. According to **Gannon**, sufficient to prove for all.]



# Partial Explanation

---

Natural way to `explain' Mathieu Moonshine:  
**generalise Mukai Theorem** to sigma-models.

see also [Taormina, Wendland]

Moduli space:

$$\mathcal{M} = O(4, 20; \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \underbrace{O(4, 20; \mathbb{R}) / O(4, \mathbb{R}) \times O(20, \mathbb{R})}_{\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^{4,20}}$$

$N=(4,4)$  preserving symmetry of theory labelled by  $\Pi$  :

$G_{\Pi} \subset O(4, 20; \mathbb{Z})$  that **fixes  $\Pi$  pointwise**



# Classification of symmetries

Following paradigm of Mukai-Kondo Theorem, can **classify the possible symmetry groups** to be either of

[MRG,Hohenegger,Volpato]

(i)  $G = G'.G''$ , where  $G'$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{11}$ ,  
and  $G''$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{M}_{24}$

(ii)  $G = 5^{1+2} : \mathbb{Z}_4$

(iii)  $G = \mathbb{Z}_3^4 : A_6$

(iv)  $G = 3^{1+4} : \mathbb{Z}_2.G''$ , where  $G''$  is either trivial,  
 $\mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ .

extra-special group

semi-direct product

[ . normal subgroup]



# Observations

---

- (1) None of the K3 sigma-models has M24 as symmetry group.

[In case (i) only those elements of M24 appear which have at least 4 orbits when acting as a permutation. Thus 12B, 21A, 21B, 23A, 23B never arise.]

- (2) Some K3 sigma-models have symmetries that are not contained in M24.

[In particular, this is the case for (ii), (iii) and (iv), as well as (i) with non-trivial  $G'$ .]

- (3) All symmetry groups fit inside the Conway group

[but there is no evidence for 'Conway Moonshine' in the elliptic genus.]



# Exceptional cases

---

Call K3 sigma model **exceptional** if symmetry group is not contained in M24.

## Recent observation:

[MRG, Volpato]

- ▶ If sigma model is **cyclic torus orbifold**, then it is always **exceptional**.
- ▶ Every sigma model corresponding to case (ii) is equal to  $\mathbb{T}^4 / \mathbb{Z}_5$
- ▶ Every sigma model corresponding to case (iii) & (iv) is equal to  $\mathbb{T}^4 / \mathbb{Z}_3$





# Quantum Symmetry

---

**Basic idea of argument:** K3 sigma model is cyclic torus orbifold if and only if it has 'quantum symmetry' whose orbifold is a torus.

$$K3 = \mathbb{T}^4 / \mathbb{Z}_n \leftrightarrow \mathbb{T}^4 \cong K3 / \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}_n$$

Suppose that K3 has a symmetry  $g$  of order  $n$ , which satisfies level matching (= trivial multiplier phase) so that orbifold is consistent.



# Orbifold K3

---

Suppose that K3 has a **symmetry  $g$  of order  $n$** , which satisfies level matching (= trivial multiplier phase) so that **orbifold is consistent**.

By usual orbifold rules, **elliptic genus of orbifold** equals

$$\tilde{\phi}(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \phi_{g^i, g^j}(\tau, z)$$

↑

twisted twining genus -- can be obtained from twining genus of  $g^d$  where  $d = \gcd(i, j, n)$  by suitable modular transformation.



# Orbifold theory

---

The orbifold is a torus theory if and only if its elliptic genus vanishes for  $z=0$ .

But for  $z=0$  we have simply

$$\phi_{g^d}(\tau, 0) = \text{Tr}_{24}(g^d) = \text{constant}$$

and hence

$$\phi_{g^i, g^j}(\tau, 0) = \text{Tr}_{24}(g^{\text{gcd}(i, j, n)})$$



# Orbifold theory

---

Thus

$$\tilde{\phi}(\tau, 0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \text{Tr}_{24}(g^{\text{gcd}(i,j,n)}) .$$

↑  
coincides with trace in standard  
24d rep of Conway group.

For **each conjugacy class of Conway** can hence  
decide whether **orbifold** (if consistent) will lead to  
**torus or not**.



# Conway classes

---

Conway group has 167 conjugacy classes, but only **42 contain symmetries** that are **realised by some K3**.

Of these, 31 have necessarily trivial multiplier phase (since trace over 24d rep non-trivial):

- 21 lead to K3, i.e.  $\tilde{\phi}(\tau, 0) = 24$

- 10 lead to T4, i.e.  $\tilde{\phi}(\tau, 0) = 0$

↑  
none of them has representative in M24

**Quantum symmetry of T4-orbifold is always exceptional!**



# Other classes

---

The remaining 11 classes all lead to **inconsistent orbifolds** (=level matching not satisfied), i.e.

$$\tilde{\phi}(\tau, 0) \neq 0, 24$$

except for one possible torus orbifold. (It also does not have representative in M24.)

- ▶ If sigma model is **cyclic torus orbifold**, then it is always **exceptional**.





# Other cases

---

To prove:

- ▶ Every sigma model corresponding to case (ii) is equal to  $\mathbb{T}^4 / \mathbb{Z}_5$

note that **case (ii) contains 5C** class of Conway (not in M24) whose orbifold is a torus.

We have also constructed the **asymmetric**  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  orbifold explicitly and checked that it has the symmetry in case (ii).



# Other cases

---

To prove:

- ▶ Every sigma model corresponding to case (ii) is equal to  $T^4 / \mathbb{Z}_5$  ✓

note that **case (ii) contains 5C** class of Conway (not in M24) whose orbifold is a torus.

We have also constructed the **asymmetric**  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  orbifold explicitly and checked that it has the symmetry in case (ii).





# Other cases

---

To prove:

- ▶ Every sigma model corresponding to case (ii) is equal to  $\mathbb{T}^4 / \mathbb{Z}_5$  ✓

note that **case (ii) contains 5C** class of Conway (not in M24) whose orbifold is a torus.

We have also constructed the **asymmetric**  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  orbifold explicitly and checked that it has the symmetry in case (ii).

Similarly for:

- ▶ Every sigma model corresponding to case (iii) & (iv) is equal to  $\mathbb{T}^4 / \mathbb{Z}_3$  ✓



# Exceptions

---

However, there are also **exceptional** K3 sigma-models in **case (i)**. Some of them are cyclic torus orbifolds, but some of them are not

--- maybe non-abelian orbifolds?

More fundamentally, it would be important to understand what the **significance of these (cyclic) torus orbifolds** is....



# Twisted twining genera

---

Another avenue: analyse **analogue of Norton's generalised Moonshine**, i.e. twisted twining genera

[MRG, Persson, Ronellenfitch, Volpato, in progress]

$$Z_{g,h} = g \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} h$$

(g,h) commuting M24 elements

**Main observation:** twisted twining genera **behave exactly** as twisted twining characters of a **holomorphic orbifold VOA**.

[cf. Gannon]



# Holomorphic orbifold

Twisted twining characters of holomorphic orbifold obey

[Dijkgraaf, Vafa, Verlinde, Verlinde]  
[Dijkgraaf, Witten]  
[Dijkgraaf, Pasquier, Roche]  
[Bantay]  
[Coste, Gannon, Ruelle]

$$Z_{g,h}(\tau + 1) = c_g(g, h) Z_{g,gh}(\tau) ,$$

$$Z_{g,h}(-1/\tau) = \overline{c_h(g, g^{-1})} Z_{h,g^{-1}}(\tau) ,$$

where

$$c_h(g_1, g_2) = \frac{\alpha(h, g_1, g_2) \alpha(g_1, g_2, (g_1 g_2)^{-1} h (g_1 g_2))}{\alpha(g_1, h, h^{-1} g_2 h)} .$$



# 3 cocycle

---

Here

[Dijkgraaf, Witten]  
[Dijkgraaf, Pasquier, Roche]  
[Bantay]  
[Coste, Gannon, Ruelle]

$$c_h(g_1, g_2) = \frac{\alpha(h, g_1, g_2)\alpha(g_1, g_2, (g_1 g_2)^{-1} h(g_1 g_2))}{\alpha(g_1, h, h^{-1} g_2 h)} .$$

determined by 3-cocycle

$$\alpha \in H^3(G, U(1))$$

↙  
characterises holomorphic  
orbifold



# Multiplier phases

---

For the case at hand, the relevant cohomology group is

$$H^3(\mathbb{M}_{24}, U(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12}$$

[Dutour Sikiric, Ellis]

We have found **all** twisted twining genera, such that their multiplier phases are precisely as above, with  $\alpha$  corresponding to **primitive cocycle**.



# Consistency check

---

As before, knowledge of all twisted twining genera allows one to find **decomposition of g-twisted sector** with respect to stabiliser of g.

Actually, representation **projective with 2-cocycle**

$$c_g(\cdot, \cdot) \in H^2(C_g, U(1))$$

Decomposition leads to **positive integer multiplicities!**



# Obstructions

---

Twisted twining genera related to one another  
by conjugation

[Dijkgraaf, Witten]  
[Coste, Gannon, Ruelle]

$$Z_{g,h} = \frac{c_g(h, k)}{c_g(k, k^{-1}hk)} Z_{k^{-1}gk, k^{-1}hk}$$

If  $k$  commutes with  $g$  and  $h$ , twisted twining genus  
vanishes unless

$$\frac{c_g(h, k)}{c_g(k, h)} = 1 \quad \text{--- interesting obstructions!}$$





# Conclusions & open problems

---

Mathieu Moonshine has been `established' on the level of the

(twisted) twining genera

However, underlying `microscopic' understanding is still missing, in particular, not clear why M24 should arise, what is special about torus orbifolds, etc



# Conclusions & open problems

---

Structure of twisted twining genera suggests **underlying VOA explanation**, but not clear how to construct this algebra directly --- should be some sort of BPS algebra...

[Harvey, Moore], ...